

## A Note on Upsampling by Integer Factors Using the DFT

Mark Richards  
February 24, 2012

---

Let  $x[n]$  be a sequence of length  $N$  with discrete-time Fourier transform (DTFT)  $X(\omega)$ . Let  $x_D[n]$  be a decimated sequence such that  $x_D[n] = x[D \cdot n]$  for all  $n$  with  $D$  a positive integer. The nonzero support of  $x_D[n]$  will be from  $n = 0$  to  $N_D - 1$ , where  $N_D$  satisfies

$$N_D - 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{N-1}{D} \right\rfloor \Rightarrow N_D = \left\lfloor \frac{N-1}{D} \right\rfloor + 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{N+D-1}{D} \right\rfloor \quad (1)$$

Let  $X[k]$  be the  $K$ -point discrete Fourier transform (DFT) of  $x[n]$ ,  $K \geq N$ , and  $X_D[k]$  be the  $K_D$ -point DFT of  $x_D[n]$  where  $K_D = K/D$ . It will always be true that  $K_D \geq N_D$  when  $K \geq N$ .

Our goal is to go the other way, i.e. given  $x_D$  and  $X_D$ , show how to obtain  $x$  by constructing an appropriate  $X$ .

Oppenheim and Schaffer, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed, Section 4.6.1 derive the effect on the DTFTs of “decimating” a sequence  $x$  by an integer factor  $D$  to obtain  $x_d$ . The main result is their Eqn. (4.77), which in our notation is<sup>1</sup>

$$X_D(\omega) = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{i=0}^{D-1} X\left(\frac{\omega - 2\pi i}{D}\right) \quad (2)$$

We need a relationship for DFTs, not DTFTs. One way to define the DFT is as a sampled DTFT:

$$X[k] = X(\omega) \Big|_{\omega = \frac{2\pi k}{K}}, \quad k = 0, \dots, K-1 \quad (3)$$

Consider the  $K_D$ -point DFT of  $x_D$ . Sampling the DTFT of (2) gives us

$$\begin{aligned} X_D[k] &= X_D\left(\frac{2\pi k}{K_D}\right), \quad k = 0, \dots, K_D - 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{D} \sum_{i=0}^{D-1} X\left(\frac{2\pi k}{K_D D} - \frac{2\pi i}{D}\right) = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{i=0}^{D-1} X\left(\frac{2\pi k}{K} - \frac{2\pi i}{D}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Using  $K_D = K/D$  gives

$$X_D[k] = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{i=0}^{D-1} X\left(\frac{2\pi}{K}(k - iK_D)\right) = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{i=0}^{D-1} X[(k - iK_D)], \quad k = 0, \dots, K_D - 1 \quad (5)$$

We now have a relation between the  $K_D$ -point DFT of  $x_d$  and the  $K$ -point DFT of  $x$ .

---

<sup>1</sup> You can distinguish DFTs and DTFTs in this note based on whether the argument is in parentheses (DTFT) or square brackets [DFT].

We can accomplish the upsampling, that is, we can get from  $x_D$  to  $x$ , if we can get from  $X_D$  to  $X$ . So, given  $x_D$ , we take a  $K_D$ -point DFT ( $K_D \geq N_D$ ) to get  $X_D$ . We then need to form a  $K$ -point DFT  $X$ ,  $K = D \cdot K_D$ , such that Eqn. (5) holds. We can do this by placing the positive and negative frequency halves of  $X_D$  at the positive and negative frequency ends of  $X$  and filling the middle of  $X$  with zeros. If we do this correctly and then do the IDFT of  $X$ , we will obtain  $x$  of length  $K$  such that  $x_D[n] = x[D \cdot n]$  and the other samples of  $x$  are filled in by sinc interpolation.

To be more precise about “filling in the middle”, let’s write Eqn. (5) out more explicitly:

$$X_D[k] = \frac{1}{D} \{ X[k] + X[k - K_D] + X[k - 2K_D] + \dots + X[k - (D-1)K_D] \}, \quad k = 0, \dots, K_D - 1 \quad (6)$$

A  $K$ -point DFT is periodic in its index  $k$  with period  $K$ . We can use this to rewrite the right-hand side of Eqn. (6) to avoid negative indices:

$$X_D[k] = \frac{1}{D} \{ X[k] + X[k + K - K_D] + \dots + X[k + K - (D-1)K_D] \}, \quad k = 0, \dots, K_D - 1 \quad (7)$$

Now we can make more specific assignments of values to  $X[k]$  that, when aliased according to Eqn. (7), will produce the correct values in  $X_D[k]$ . The answer depends on whether  $K_D$  is even or odd.

If  $K_D$  is odd: This means that there is no sample of  $X_D$  that corresponds to half the Nyquist sampling frequency; there is a DC sample, and then all of the others can be paired in equal-magnitude positive and negative frequencies. In this case, make the following assignment:

$$\begin{aligned} X[k] &= D \cdot X_D[k], & k &= 0, 1, \dots, (K_D - 1)/2 \\ X[k + K - K_D] &= D \cdot X_D[k], & k &= (K_D + 1)/2, \dots, K_D - 1 \\ X[k] &= 0, & & \text{otherwise} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

If  $K_D$  is even: This means that there is a sample of  $X_D$  that corresponds to half the Nyquist sampling frequency and it has to be “split” in some fashion. In this case, make the following assignment:

$$\begin{aligned} X[k] &= D \cdot X_D[k], & k &= 0, 1, \dots, K_D/2 - 1 \\ X[K_D/2] &= D \cdot X_D[K_D/2]/2, \\ X[K - K_D/2] &= D \cdot X_D[K_D/2]/2, \\ X[k + K - K_D] &= D \cdot X_D[k], & k &= K_D/2 + 1, \dots, K_D - 1 \\ X[k] &= 0, & & \text{otherwise} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The particular assignment given is not unique. As one example, in the even- $K_D$  case we could choose not to “split”  $X_D[K_D/2]$  but instead to assign it to  $X[K_D/2]$  in its entirety, and set  $X[K - K_D/2]$  to zero. When  $X$  is decimated to get  $X_D$  the result will be the same as with the assignment in Eqn. (9). However, Eqn. (9) has the following properties that are consistent with a typical bandlimited signal processing viewpoint:

1. The constructed spectrum  $X$  is bandlimited with compact support, i.e. all the energy is concentrated around zero frequency and the center of the spectrum is empty; and
2. If  $x_D$  is real-valued so that  $X_D$  exhibits the appropriate DFT conjugate symmetry, namely  $X_D[k] = X_D^*[k]$  then  $X$  will maintain that symmetry,  $X[k] = X^*[k]$ , and therefore  $x$  will also be real-valued.

The following pages include a short MATLAB script that can be used to demonstrate these relations.

It is important to keep in mind what this method claims and what it doesn't claim. This algorithm is designed to start with a sequence  $x_D$  and then create a sequence  $x$  upsampled by an integer factor  $D$ . The sequence  $x$  is designed such that, when you decimate it by the same factor  $D$ , you get the original spectrum  $X_D$  back again, which means you get the original sequence  $x_D$  back again. However, this is only guaranteed on the original sequence's region of support. If the original DFT is bigger than the original data,  $K_D > N_D$ , then after you upsample the original DFT and invert the expanded DFT, you'll have a sequence that is  $K$  points long in time instead of  $N$ . While it will match the original  $x_1$  for  $N = 0:N-1$ , the behavior for  $n = N:K-1$  has not been constrained. In practice, this region will not be zero, but instead will exhibit the asinc interpolation "tails" from the ending portion of the original data sequence.

This behavior can be seen by letting  $K_D > N_D$  and turning off the window in the attached MATLAB demonstration code by commenting it out (line 19). In the plot of the IFFT of the larger spectrum, i.e. the final upsampled data, we get some funky behavior away from the original regions of support. This upsampled domain is an asinc interpolation of the original data; the big discontinuity in my data at  $n = 0$  causes that ringing at the other end. If you redefine the test sequence to be smoother at the beginning (e.g., uncomment the line with the Tukey window in the code), it looks much better.

```

% dft_upsample.m
%
% toy example of using DFTs to upsample data, with careful (I hope)
% attention to the end points of everything
clear all
close all

ND = input('Enter sequence length ND: ');
KD = input(['Enter DFT size KD (KD >= ', num2str(ND), '): ']);
D = input('Enter upsampling factor (integer): ');

% create some data xd and its DFT Xd. I'll do a decaying, damped sinusoid.
xd = zeros(ND,1);
nd = (0:ND-1)';
f0 = 0.1; % oscillation frequency in cycles per sample
alpha = 0.04; % decay rate for amplitude
xd = exp((1i*2*pi*f0 - alpha)*nd);
% optional window to guarantee no discontinuity at the edges
xd = xd.*tukeywin(length(xd),0.1);
XD = fft(xd,KD);
kd = (0:KD-1)';

subplot(4,2,1)
plot(nd,real(xd)); grid; axis('tight')
subplot(4,2,2)
plot(kd,abs(XD)); grid; axis('tight')
shg

% Now let's build the bigger FFT according to my tech note

K = D*KD;
X = zeros(K,1);
k = (0:K-1)';

if mod(KD,2)==0
    % KD is even. Add one to all indices in tech note for MATLAB indexing
    X(1:(KD/2)) = XD(1:KD/2);
    X(KD/2+1) = XD(KD/2+1)/2;
    X(K-KD/2+1) = XD(KD/2+1)/2;
    X((KD/2+2:KD)+K-KD) = XD(KD/2+2:KD);
    X = D*X;
else
    % KD is odd
    X(1:(KD-1)/2+1) = XD(1:(KD-1)/2+1);
    X(((KD+1)/2+1:KD)+K-KD) = XD((KD+1)/2+1:KD);
    X = D*X;
end

% OK, let's see what we've got.
x = ifft(X);

subplot(4,2,3)
plot(k,real(x)); grid; axis('tight')
subplot(4,2,4)
plot(k,abs(X)); grid; axis('tight')

```

```
% Now to close the loop, let's explicitly decimate x to see if it matches
% the original xd

y = x(1:D:end);
ny = (0:length(y)-1)';
Y = fft(y,KD);

subplot(4,2,5)
plot(nd,real(y(1:ND))); grid; axis('tight')
subplot(4,2,6)
plot(kd,abs(Y)); grid; axis('tight')

subplot(4,2,7)
plot(ny,real(y)); grid; axis('tight')

norm(Y-XD)/norm(XD)
norm(y(1:ND)-xd)/norm(xd)
```